

# WRONG “IDÉES FIXES” IN GR

ANGELO LOINGER

ABSTRACT. Six wrong *idées fixes* concerning the black holes and the gravitational waves.

“*Idée fixe*, n. Idea that dominates the mind, monomania.”  
From “The Concise Oxford Dictionary”.

## *Idée fixe* N°1

A widespread “Vulgate” of general relativity (GR) claims that if Schwarzschild problem – i.e. the problem of the Einsteinian gravitational field generated by a point mass  $M$  at rest – is solved using co-ordinate-free methods (such as orthonormal bases, *etc.*), the result is necessarily the *standard* form of solution, erroneously named “by Schwarzschild”, in reality due to Hilbert, Droste, Weyl.

This is a wrong *idée fixe*: as a matter of fact, the procedure of the “Vulgate” is impaired by a vulgar logical fallacy, cf. e.g. R.M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago; 1984), p.121, eq.(6.15): only by writing  $r^2(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2\vartheta d\varphi^2)$  for the angular part, this author has already chosen the standard HDW-form of solution. Remark that Birkhoff theorem [1] is not in question: indeed, Schwarzschild’s *original* form of solution [2] is diffeomorphic to the *exterior* part ( $r > 2m$ ;  $m \equiv GM/c^2$ ;  $G$  is the gravitational constant) of the standard form. The interior part ( $0 < r < 2m$ ) of this form presents various mathematical and physical defects [3]; in particular, in the complete manifold of the HDW-form, i.e. for  $0 < r < \infty$ , it is impossible to assign the *time arrow* for every time geodesic, according to rational criteria (private communication by S.Antoci). On the contrary, this difficulty does not exist for the *original* Schwarzschild’s form [2], which is regular in the entire spacetime, with the only exception of the origin  $r = 0$  of the space co-ordinates, seat of the point mass  $M$ .

## *Idée fixe* N°2

The undue privilege granted to standard HDW-form of solution of Schwarzschild problem and some physically senseless reflections on its interior part ( $0 < r < 2m$ ) have generated: *i*) the fictive notion of black hole (BH); *ii*) the *idée fixe* according to which the continued gravitational collapse of a sufficiently massive celestial body must give origin to a BH.

Thus, *Chandra Digest* of February 18th, 2004 tells us that “Thanks to two orbiting X-ray observatories, astronomers have the first strong evidence

of a supermassive black hole ripping apart a star and consuming a portion of it. The event, captured by NASA's Chandra and ESA's XMM-Newton X-ray Observatories, had long been predicted by theory, but never confirmed ... until now."

This is science fiction! *In reality*, the observations reveal only the action of the very strong ***tidal effects*** produced by an enormous mass concentrated in a "punctual" volume. The *original* Schwarzschild's  $ds^2$  [2], for instance, interprets perfectly the observational data.

The continued gravitational collapse can be described very generally in a simple and concise way. Remark, first of all, that the necessary and sufficient condition that a Riemann-Einstein spacetime admit the group of spatial rotations is that its  $ds^2$  be reducible to the following form, where  $r, \vartheta, \varphi$  are polar spherical co-ordinates [4]:

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = A_1(r, t)c^2 dt^2 - A_2(r, t)dr^2 - A_3(r, t)d\omega^2,$$

with

$$(2) \quad d\omega^2 \equiv d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2.$$

Let us consider a collapsing *spherical* body of mass  $M$ . As it is well known, the Einsteinian gravitational field outside the body is *time independent*, and can be described consequently by the following  $ds^2$  [5]:

$$(3) \quad ds^2 = \left[1 - \frac{2m}{f(r)}\right] c^2 dt^2 - \left[1 - \frac{2m}{f(r)}\right]^{-1} [df(r)]^2 - [f(r)]^2 (d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2),$$

where  $f(r)$  is *any* regular function of the radial co-ordinate. If we put  $f(r) \equiv r$ , we have the standard HDW-form; for  $r \equiv [r^3 + (2m)^3]^{1/3}$  we obtain the original Schwarzschild's form [2]; *etc.*

The *physical* results are *independent* of the choice of the function  $f(r)$  – and this is true, in particular, for the above communication of the *Chandra Digest*.

### ***Idée fixe* N°3**

It consists of the conviction that in the motions of gravitationally interacting masses an essential role is played by the gravitational waves. Now, it can be proved with the well known method of Einstein-Infeld-Hoffmann (EIH) that in a first approximation the above motions are Newtonian, then the successive approximations yield some (relatively) small corrections. But *no* gravitational wave is present [6]. In recent years I have given several *exact* (i.e. non-approximate) proofs of the physical non-existence of gravitational waves in the motions of the masses [7].

***Idée fixe* N°4**

It is obvious that the notion of gravitational wave, as an object endowed with a *physical* reality, requires the existence of a class of physically privileged reference frames.

Fock tried to prove quite generally that also in GR we have a class of this kind: the set of the so-called *harmonic* systems [8]. But Fock’s arguments are not rigorous – and on the other hand GR, if properly understood, does not allow the existence of privileged co-ordinate systems. Another vain attempt is due to Bondi *et alii* [9], who tried to prove the existence of a class of privileged frames insofar as the gravitational waves solely are concerned.

***Idée fixe* N°5**

It regards the conceptual adequacy, for the treatment of the gravitational waves, of the *linearized* version of GR. Now, in a beautiful article of 1944 Hermann Weyl proved the mathematical and physical *inadequacy* of the linear approximation of GR, just under the above respect [10]. It is a pity that the astrophysical community ignore Weyl’s demonstration.

It follows, in particular, from Weyl’s paper that the various computations regarding the behaviour of the famous radiopulsar PSR1913+16 are fully destitute of a physical value.

***Idée fixe* N°6**

It consists of the settled belief that the gravitational wave generates a certain gravitational field. Since this hypothetical wave does not possess a true energy-momentum, but only a pseudo (false) energy-momentum (a ‘mathematical fiction’, according to Eddington) the above *idées fixe* is pure nonsense.

**Final remarks**

Many *idées fixes* regarding the BH’s and the GW’s are contained, respectively, in the review articles by Celotti *et alii* [11] and by Schutz [12].

In the golden booklet by Albert Einstein *The Meaning of Relativity* (1955) there is no mention of BH’s and GW’s.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Relativity and Modern Physics*, (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.) 1923, p.253.
- [2] K. Schwarzschild, *Berl. Ber.*, (1916) 189; for an English translation see *arXiv:physics/9905030*, May 12th, 1999. This translation has been published also on *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **35** (2003) 951.
- [3] Cf. e.g. A. Loinger, *arXiv:physics/0402088 v1*, February 18th, 2004 – and the bibliography quoted here.
- [4] See: J. Eiesland, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **27** (1925) 213; L.P. Eisenhart, *Continuous Groups of Transformations* (Dover Publ., New York) 1961, sect.58.
- [5] Cf. A.S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, Second Edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) 1960, p.94.

- [6] See e.g. L. Infeld and J. Plebanski, *Motion and relativity* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, etc.) 1960, in particular Chapt. VI.
- [7] Cf. e.g. A. Loinger, *arXiv:physics/0312149 v3*, February 11th, 2004 – and the bibliography quoted here.
- [8] V. Fock, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation*, Second Revised Edition (Pergamon Press, Oxford, etc.) 1964, *passim*.
- [9] H. Bondi, F.A.E. Pirani and L. Robinson *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, **A 251** (1959) 219.
- [10] H. Weyl, *Amer. J. Math.*, **66** (1944) 591.
- [11] A. Celotti, J.C. Miller and D.W. Sciama, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **16** (1999) A3.
- [12] B.F. Schutz, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **16** (1999) A131.

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA CELORIA, 16 - 20133 MILANO (ITALY)

*E-mail address:* `angelo.loinger@mi.infn.it`